

Strasbourg, 8 February 2015 [files02e_2016.docx] **T-PVS/Files (2016) 2**

CONVENTION ON THE CONSERVATION OF EUROPEAN WILDLIFE AND NATURAL HABITATS

Standing Committee

36th meeting Strasbourg, 15-18 November 2016

Complaints on stand-by

Possible impact of wind-farm developments on bats ("the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia")

- REPORT BY THE COMPLAINANT -

Document prepared by the Bat Study Group Macedonia, Birdprotection Macedonia, "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"

> This document will not be distributed at the meeting. Please bring this copy. Ce document ne sera plus distribué en réunion. Prière de vous munir de cet exemplaire.

Complaint No. 2015/2: Possible impact of wind-farm developments on bats in "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"

In 2014 in "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" is constructed and put into operation first Wind Farm (WF) of 16 x 2.3 MW wind turbines without appropriate birds and bats baseline study as the most affected fauna groups from this type of project. The WF is located in SE of "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" close to the village of Bogdanci (fig. 1). WF Bogdanci is investment of JSC Macedonian Power Plants (ELEM) under the Western Balkans Infrastructure Projects Facility. A total nominal capacity of the WF is 36.8 MW, and will generate a total of 89,500 MWh per year.

The ESIA prepared (only in Macedonian language) does not contain anything regarding bats but also what is done for birds is far from relevant and appropriate. Additionally preconstruction inventory of birds or bats was missing and nowadays there is not any operational monitoring due to the fact that in the ESIA study stays that no bats are present in the area.

Having in mind that in that area (Micevski at all, 2014) are find 15 bat species, all of them on Bern Convention Annex II, and 7 of them on Annex I (*Rhinolophus hipposideros*, *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*, *Rhinolophus Euryale*, *Rhinolophus blasii*, *Myotis capaccinii*, *Myotis emarginatus*, *Miniopterus schreibersii*) it is obvious that monitoring should be obligatory.

From birds we do not know exactly which one would be most affected but certainly many water birds, among them pelicans (White and Dalmatian) inhabiting Dojran lake (Ramsar site) which is only on several km afar from the WF. Also being in several km from the main migratory pathway for birds it is possible obstacle and threat from collisions for considerable nr of birds of prey which were not relevantly studied in the existing ESIA study and again without operational monitoring, for what is happening and what in reality means WF Bogdanci for the most affected groups of animals.

In this case the most affected are two group of species - birds and bats.

Bats are legally protected in all European countries by the Habitat Directives (HD), Bern and Bonn Conventions; all species are listed in Annex IV of HD (Member States are required to take the requisite measures to establish a system of strict protection for them in their natural range) and some of them additionally in Annex II (species of community interest whose conservation requires the designation of special areas of conservation). In addition, most species are red listed in one or more countries in Europe and on the IUCN Red List (IUCN 2014)/ in "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" 6 species are red-listed: *Barbastella barbastellus, Myniopterus schraibersii, Myotis capacinii, Rhynolophus blasii, Rhinolophus euryale, Rhynolophus mehelii* and have a status like natural heritage of "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" - and potentially all of them could be met in the area of Wind Farm Bogdanci. In the moment it is not possible to predict population status of these species as the study done in the region in vicinity was with completely different aimmainly for status of different bat species in the region.

Wind turbines have been described as a problem for birds for many years (Winkelman 1989, Phillips 1994, Reichenbach 2002).

More recently, many studies have established that wind turbines can have negative impacts on bats (e.g., Arnett et al. 2008, Baerwald & Barclay 2014, Rydell et al. 2010a, Lehnert et al. 2014). Bat mortality at wind turbines occurs due to collision and/or barotrauma (Arnett et al. 2008, Baerwald et al. 2008, Grodsky et al. 2011, Rollins et al. 2012). Adequate avoidance and mitigation measures taking these risks into account should be included in the environmental impact assessment and in the permit delivered by authorities before the operating phase what was not the case with the Bogdanci Wind farm.

Taking into account this information, impact assessments for bats should be carried out for all sites in order to identify if the proposed site location is appropriate, to adjust the site layout if necessary, to develop site-specific mitigation or compensation measures and to plan appropriate post-construction monitoring. This obligation was confirmed in the resolutions 5.6, 6.12 and 7.5 of the respective 5^{th} , 6^{th} and 7^{th} sessions of the meeting of parties of Eurobats.

In addition, the part where the wind farm is operating is not identified like Emerald site but in the vicinity (10 km distance only) of that site is located Important Bird area, Ramsar site, CORINE biotope and Emerald site (Dojran Lake) – and Demir Kapija which is very important site for bats) (Micevski et all, 2014).

In that region were registered 15 bat species among them majority on Bern II list: *Rhinolophus hipposideros, Rhinolophus ferrumequinum, Rhinolophus euryale, Rhinolophus blasii, Myotis capaccinii, Myotis mystacinus, Myotis emarginatus, Nyctalus noctula, Pipistrellus pipistrellus, Pipistrellus pygmaeus, Pipistrellus kuhlii , Pipistrellus kuhlii/P.nathusii, Eptesicus serotinus, Miniopterus schreibersii, Tadarida teniotis and 7 species on Bern I.*

Indeed, ESIA exist, only in Macedonian language, apart of the fact that this project was under the Western Balkans Infrastructure Projects Facility, and English version should exist too.

Inside that ESIA bats are nearly not mentioned or mentioned that no bat was found??? Without using any specific bat-research equipment/methods (mist netting or bat detectors).

For birds the study is very weak and not tackling relevant issues regarding migration of endangered bird species especially due to the fact that the area is on the main migratory route for birds but also bats - Morava -Vardar migratory pathway.

